IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 470 of 1988

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.H.KADRI

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

KEROLINBEN DEVIDBHAI

Versus

SUSHILABEN P HUDANI

Appearance:

1. Civil Revision Application No. 470 of 1988
MR KV SHELAT for Respondent No. 1

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.H.KADRI

Date of decision: 12/11/2003

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Mr. K.V. Shelat, learned advocate for the respondent, has made a statement at the bar that the property which is the subject matter of this Civil Revision Application which was occupied by the petitioner

as a tenant, has already been sold to the petitioner by a Registered Sale Deed, and, therefore, the question of executing the decree against the petitioner no longer survives.

In view of the statement that the dispute involved in the Civil Revision Application is amicably settled, this Civil Revision Application has become infructuous, and hence it is disposed of as infructuous. Rule is discharged. No order as to costs.

[M.H. KADRI, J.]

mathew